	Case 1:21-cv-00155-NONE-EPG Docur	ment 42	Filed 09/03/21	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	JOHN WESLEY WILLIAMS,	Case	No. 1:21-cv-001	55-NONE-EPG (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,		DER GRANTING UEST FOR JUD		
13	v.	(ECI	F No. 38)		
14	BEER, et al.,				
15	Defendants.				
16					
17		1 (17)	. 0 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1		
18	On September 2, 2021, Plaintiff filed a "Request for Judicial Notice of Possible Related				
19	Case." (ECF No. 38).				
20	Plaintiff's request is GRANTED. The Court takes judicial notice that on August 13, 2021				
21	Plaintiff filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus. Williams v. The State Bar of California, E.D.				
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	CA, Case No. 1:21-cv-01228, ECF No. 1.  While the cases include at least some overlapping factual allegations, the Court will not				
24	reassign either case at this time because it does not appear that reassigning the action to a single				
25	judge is likely to effect a savings of judicial effort or other economies. See Local Rule 123(c).				
26	The Court takes judicial notice that on August 31, 2021, the magistrate judge assigned to				
27	Plaintiff's habeas case ordered Plaintiff to show cause "why the petition should not be dismissed				
28	based on Younger v. Harris, 401 U.S. 37 (1971), failure to exhaust state judicial remedies, and				
	<u> </u>				
		1			

	Case 1:21-cv-00155-NONE-EPG Docume	nt 42 Filed 09/03/21 Page 2 of 2
1	failure to state a cognizable federal habeas corp	ous claim." E.D. CA, Case No. 1:21-cv-01228,
2	ECF No. 10, p. 4.	
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5	Dated: September 3, 2021	Island P. Grosy
6		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12 13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		